

Not-for-Publication Appendix to “Optimal Asymptotic Least Squares Estimation in a Singular Set-up”

Antonio Diez de los Rios
Bank of Canada
diez@bankofcanada.ca

December 2014

A Proof of Propositions

A.1 Proof of Proposition 1

This proof closely follows Peñaranda and Sentana (2012), where further details can be found.

Let the spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$ be given by

$$\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}_1 & \mathbf{T}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{T}'_1 \\ \mathbf{T}'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}'_1,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is a $(G - S) \times (G - S)$ positive definite diagonal matrix; and, without loss of generality, let $\mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$ be the Moore-Penrose¹ generalized inverse of $\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$:

$$\mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) = \mathbf{T}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{T}'_1.$$

In order to simplify the notation, it is convenient to reparameterize the parameter space into the alternative K parameters $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ($S \times 1$) and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ($(K - S) \times 1$) such that

$$\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}' & \boldsymbol{\beta}' \end{pmatrix}',$$

where the first S elements of $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are such $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. In particular, we can choose $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ to be a regular transformation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ on an open neighbourhood of $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$. Further, let $\mathbf{q}[\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \boldsymbol{\theta}$ be the corresponding inverse transformation of $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that recovers $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ back. Let the Jacobians of the inverse transformation be given by

$$\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}')} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

¹As noted by Peñaranda and Sentana (2012), it is possible to show that the results in this proposition hold for any generalized inverse of $\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. While a similar argument would apply here, we focus on the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse for simplicity.

This transformation allows us to impose the parametric restrictions $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ by simply working with the smaller set of parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and the distance functions $\mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]$. Thus the optimal ALS estimator can be defined as $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ where

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} T \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]' \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})].$$

(i) Since $(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2)$ is an orthogonal matrix, and the $\text{rank}[\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] = K$ given that $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a regular transformation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ on open neighbourhood of $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$, we have by the inverse function theorem that

$$\text{rank}[\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)] = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}'_1 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & \mathbf{T}'_1 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ \mathbf{T}'_2 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) & \mathbf{T}'_2 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{bmatrix} = K. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Note now that Assumptions 1 and 2 imply that $\boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the neighbourhood. So, by differentiating this random process with respect to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and evaluating the derivatives at the true value $\boldsymbol{\beta}^0$ we have, by the continuous mapping theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T} \{ \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \otimes \mathbf{I}_S \} \frac{\partial \text{vec} \{ \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} + \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \sqrt{T} \frac{\partial \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0} \\ \{ \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \otimes \mathbf{I}_S \} \frac{\partial \text{vec} \{ \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} + \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \frac{\partial \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} &\xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

since $1/\sqrt{T} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}$.

Using the chain rule, the previous expression can be written as

$$\{ \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \otimes \mathbf{I}_S \} \frac{\partial \text{vec} \{ \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) + \boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0),$$

which implies that

$$\boldsymbol{\Xi}'[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) = \mathbf{0}$$

with $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\theta}]$ and where we have used that $\mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{g}[\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] = \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) = \mathbf{0}$, and that $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0), \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] = \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)]$.

Finally, note that since $\mathbf{T}'_2 \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) = \mathbf{0}$, then \mathbf{T}_2 must be a full-column rank linear transformation of $\boldsymbol{\Xi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Therefore, it has to be that

$$\mathbf{T}'_2 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)] \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) = \mathbf{0},$$

which implies that $\text{rank}[\mathbf{Q}'_1 \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] = K - S$ for (A.1) to be true. Thus, after imposing that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$, the reduced system of distance functions $\mathbf{Q}'_1 \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})]$ will first-order identify $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ at $\boldsymbol{\beta}^0$.

(ii) Since the transformation from $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ is regular on an open neighbourhood of $\boldsymbol{\theta}^0$, a first-order expansion system of distance functions delivers:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) &= - \left[\mathbf{Q}'_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{Q}'_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) + o_p(1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \xrightarrow{d} N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{V}_\beta],$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}_\beta = \left[\mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \right]^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In addition, note that since the optimal ALS estimator is given by $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, we can use the Delta method to compute its asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \xrightarrow{d} N\left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{V}_\beta \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0)\right]. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

We now compare the asymptotic covariance matrix of this optimal estimator with the ALS estimator that uses \mathbf{W} as a weighting matrix and does not impose the restrictions $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$. In particular, the asymptotic covariance matrix of such an estimator is given by

$$\left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1}.$$

Therefore, for $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ to be optimal, we need

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1} \\ & - \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{V}_\beta \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \end{aligned}$$

to be positive semidefinite, which in turn requires

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \\ & - \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right] \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{V}_\beta \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

to be positive semidefinite as well.

It can be shown that this is the case given that this matrix is the asymptotic residual variance of the limiting least squares projection of $\sqrt{T} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0]$ on $\sqrt{T} \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{g}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$. In particular:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var} \left[\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{T} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{g}[\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0] \\ \sqrt{T} \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{g}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \end{array} \right] = \\ \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) & \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \\ \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) & \mathbf{V}_\beta^{-1} \end{array} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we can consider the variance of a third ALS estimator that uses \mathbf{W} as weighting matrix but imposes the restrictions $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \right]^{-1} \\ & \times \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \\ & \times \left[\mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^0) \right]^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and the variance of a fourth estimator that uses the generalized inverse of $\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$ as a weighting matrix but does not impose $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1} \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1} = \\ & \left[\mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, it is possible to prove that the difference between any of these two matrices and $\mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \mathbf{V}_\beta \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0)$ is positive semidefinite.

(iii) Using a Taylor expansion of $\sqrt{T} \mathbf{g} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]$ and equation (A.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})] \\ &= \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) + \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \beta^0) + o_p(1) \\ &= \left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \mathbf{V}_\beta \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \mathbf{G}'_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{T}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{T}'_1 \right] \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) + o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

and rearranging the previous expression as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{T} \mathbf{g} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})] \\ &= \mathbf{T}_1 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} \left[\mathbf{I}_{G-S} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}' \right] \sqrt{T} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1/2} \mathbf{T}'_1 \mathbf{g}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) + o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1/2} \mathbf{T}'_1 \mathbf{G}_\theta(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0)$. Therefore, the criterion function evaluated at the optimal ALS estimator is

$$T \mathbf{g} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]' \mathbf{V}_g^+(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{g} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})] = \hat{\mathbf{z}}' \left[\mathbf{I}_{G-S} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}' \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}} + o_p(1),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1/2} \mathbf{T}'_1 \mathbf{g}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$ is asymptotically distributed as a standard multivariate normal, which implies that the criterion function converges to a chi-square distribution with $G - K$ degrees of freedom, given that the matrix $[\mathbf{I}_{G-S} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}']$ is idempotent with rank $(G - S) - (K - S) = G - K$.

A.2 Proof of Proposition 2

As in the proof of Proposition 1, we will work with the alternative set of K parameters of interest $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ($S \times 1$) and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ($(K - S) \times 1$) such that

$$\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}' & \boldsymbol{\beta}' \end{pmatrix}',$$

where the first S elements of $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are such that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$. Again, let $\mathbf{q}[\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \boldsymbol{\theta}$ be the inverse transformation of $\mathbf{R}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ that recovers $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ back, and let its Jacobians be denoted by $\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \partial \mathbf{q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) / \partial (\boldsymbol{\alpha}', \boldsymbol{\beta}')$. As noted earlier, this (regular) transformation allows us to impose the parametric restriction $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ by simply setting $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$. In particular, the asymptotic distribution of the ML estimate of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ subject to the restriction that $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ is given by

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{ML} - \beta^0) \xrightarrow{d} N \left[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \right],$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = -\frac{1}{T} E \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \right]$ is the relevant block of the information matrix. Similarly, since the ML estimator of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ that imposes the restriction $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ is given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{ML} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{ML})$, we can use the Delta method to compute its asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{ML} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \xrightarrow{d} N \left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \mathbf{Q}'_\beta(\mathbf{0}, \beta^0) \right].$$

In particular, the optimal ALS estimate of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ will be asymptotically equivalent to ML if they have the same asymptotic variance. Comparing this expression with equation (A.4), it is straightforward to see that this will only occur when $\mathbf{V}_\beta = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta}^{-1}$.

In order to prove this result, we will work on an alternative set of G auxiliary parameters $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ ($S \times 1$) and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ($(G - S) \times 1$) such that

$$\mathbf{M}[\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = [\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\theta})' \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})']',$$

where the first S elements of $\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ are such that $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$. Let $\mathbf{l}[\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\pi})] = \boldsymbol{\pi}$ be the corresponding inverse transformation of $\mathbf{M}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ that recovers $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ back. Let the Jacobians of the inverse transformation be given by

$$\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{l}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\delta}', \boldsymbol{\gamma}')} = [\mathbf{L}_\delta(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \quad \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})].$$

Note that this second (regular) transformation of the auxiliary parameters allows us to impose the parametric restriction $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ on both the estimation of the auxiliary and parameters of interest. Specifically, we have that $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] = \mathbf{0}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Further, the asymptotic distribution of the ML estimate of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ subject to the restriction that $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \mathbf{0}$ is given by

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{ML} - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0) \xrightarrow{d} N[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_0)],$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -\frac{1}{T}E\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma} \partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}'}\right]$ is the relevant block of the information matrix. Note that $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta} = \frac{\partial \beta'}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}'}$.

Moreover, since the ML estimator of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ that imposes the restriction $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ is given by $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{ML} = \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{0}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{ML})$, we can use the Delta method to compute its asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{T}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_{ML} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^0) \xrightarrow{d} N\left[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma'(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0)\right]. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Finally, note that, since the system is complete, and the fact that both $\mathbf{R}(\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{M}(\cdot)$ are regular imply that $\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $\mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ have full rank, we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\delta}' \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}')} \times \frac{\partial \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\delta} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}}{\partial \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha}' & \boldsymbol{\beta}' \end{pmatrix}} \times \frac{\partial \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta} \end{pmatrix}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \\ -\mathbf{G}_\pi^{-1} \mathbf{G}_\theta &= \mathbf{L}(\boldsymbol{\delta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\delta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}'} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\delta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}'} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ -\mathbf{G}_\pi^{-1} \mathbf{G}_\theta \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_\alpha & \mathbf{Q}_\beta \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}_\delta & \mathbf{L}_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\delta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}'} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\delta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \\ \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}'} & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which, since $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\beta})] = \mathbf{0}$ for all $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ implies that $\partial \boldsymbol{\delta} / \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}' = \mathbf{0}$, we have that

$$-\mathbf{G}_\theta \mathbf{Q}_\beta = \mathbf{G}_\pi \mathbf{L}_\gamma \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Substituting equations (A.5) and (A.6) evaluated at $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^0$ in the expression for \mathbf{V}_β in (A.3) we have that

$$\mathbf{V}_\beta^{-1} = \frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial \beta} \left\{ \mathbf{L}'_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{G}'_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \left[\mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{L}'_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \right]^+ \right. \\ \left. \times \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \right\} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \beta'}.$$

Let \mathbf{D} be the term inside the curly brackets. Premultiplying \mathbf{D} by $\mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0)$, and postmultiplying it by $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{L}'_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$, we find that

$$\mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{L}'_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) = \\ \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0) \mathbf{L}_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{L}'_\gamma(\mathbf{0}, \gamma^0) \mathbf{G}_\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0),$$

where we have used the fact that a generalized inverse must satisfy $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^+\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}$. Thus, $\mathbf{D} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma}$ for the last equation to be true. This implies that,

$$\mathbf{V}_\beta = \left(\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \beta'} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{\partial \gamma'}{\partial \beta} \right)^{-1} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{\beta\beta}^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the optimal ALS estimator that uses a generalized inverse of $\mathbf{V}_g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^0)$ as the weighting matrix and that, simultaneously, imposes the restriction $\mathbf{r}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{r}[\mathbf{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \mathbf{0}$ is asymptotically equivalent to the ML estimator that imposes that restriction.